



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

110

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,666	02/20/2004	Youhei Toyoshima	44471/297709	7282

23370 7590 06/19/2007
JOHN S. PRATT, ESQ
KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP
1100 PEACHTREE STREET
ATLANTA, GA 30309

EXAMINER

LUKS, JEREMY AUSTIN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2837

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

06/19/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/783,666

Applicant(s)

TOYOSHIMA, YOUHEI

Examiner

Jeremy Luks

Art Unit

2837

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 April 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 15 and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities: These claims are currently dependent on cancelled claims 5 and 6. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-4 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nilsson (2001/0045322). Nilsson teaches a muffler body (Figure 1, #1) defining an expansion room (Examiner considers the muffler interior including chamber #3 and other unlabeled chambers comprising absorbing material #8 to be an expansion room. Also see Page 2, [0023] describing the possibility of removing absorbing material #8 to surround the pipe with air); an upstream pipe (2), an end portion thereof is opened in the expansion room (interior of #1, portion #3; Page 2, [0023]); a downstream pipe (6), an end portion (5) thereof is opened in expansion room (interior of #1, portion #3; Page 2, [0023]); and an opening (holes #9) formed in a side face of the downstream pipe (6) in the expansion room (interior of #1, portion #3; Page 2, [0023]), the opening (holes #9)

Art Unit: 2837

formed in a belt-like elongated area (6a) extending substantially along a main axis of the downstream pipe (6) and comprising a plurality of small holes (9) substantially arranged at regular intervals in said main axis; wherein the end portion (end near chamber #3) of the upstream pipe (2) and the end portion (end near #7) of the downstream pipe (6) are opened towards the same direction; wherein the opening (holes #9) in the downstream pipe (6) is positioned in an axial direction between the end portion (opening to chamber #3) of the upstream pipe (2) and the end portion (5) of the downstream pipe (6); and wherein the elongated area (6a) is directionally stretched in a circumferential direction of the downstream pipe (6) and is evenly distributed in a substantial main axis direction of the downstream pipe (6) (Page 3, [0032]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nilsson (2001/0045322). Nilsson is relied upon for the reasons and disclosures set forth above, and further teaches the opening has an opening ratio in a range from 10% to 20%. Nilsson fails to teach the opening has an opening ratio in a range from 20% to 40%. However, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have an opening ratio in a range from 20% to 40%, since it

Art Unit: 2837

has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working range involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nilsson (2001/0045322) in view of Miles (2,095,999). Nilsson is relied upon for the reasons and disclosures set forth above. Nilsson fails to teach wherein the opening comprises a slit formed in the elongated area. Miles teaches a slit (Figure 1, #24) formed in an elongated area (Col. 2, Lines 15-17). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the apparatus of Nilsson, with the apparatus of Miles to change the acoustic boundary conditions.

5. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nilsson (2001/0045322) in view of Macaluso (4,735,283). Nilsson is relied upon for the reasons and disclosures set forth above. Nilsson further teaches an expansion room (Examiner considers the muffler interior including chamber #3 and other unlabeled chambers comprising absorbing material #8 to be an expansion room. Also see Page 2, [0023] describing the possibility of removing absorbing material #8 to surround the pipe with air) including a first chamber (3). While Nilsson appears to show the remaining limitations of the claim, they are not detailed in the drawings although obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, Nilsson fails to expressly teach wherein the expansion room of the muffler body is partitioned into a first expansion chamber, a second expansion chamber and a third expansion chamber by a first baffle plate and a second baffle plate. Macaluso teaches an expansion room (Figure 1, interior muffler

Art Unit: 2837

#1) of a muffler body (1) is partitioned into a first expansion chamber (19), a second expansion chamber (17) and a third expansion chamber (15) by a first baffle plate (13) and a second baffle plate (11) (Col. 1, Line 51 – Col. 2, Line 36). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the apparatus of Nilsson, with the apparatus of Macaluso to support the pipes and provide sound reduction, as is well known in the art when constructing mufflers.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 7-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The Examiner considers the obvious combination of Nilsson, Miles and Macaluso to teach all of the limitations as claimed by Applicant.

7. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., argument of functionality from page 1 of Remarks) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Additionally, Applicant is reminded with respect to how the apparatus functions, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

8. With respect to the Nilsson reference, whether or not the sound absorbing material #8 is present (Page 2, [0023]), the chamber-like areas around the pipes will still allow the gas to expand, and is therefor an expansion room or chamber.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Pertinent arts of record relating to mufflers are disclosed in the PTO-892.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy Luks whose telephone number is (571) 272-2707. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30-6:00, and alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lincoln Donovan can be reached on (571) 272-1988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2837

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeremy Luks
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2837
Class 181


LINCOLN BONNYAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER